arXiv:0804.1339v1 [math.PR] 8 Apr 2008

The Expansion for the overlap function

Sérgio de Carvalho Bezerra
Instituto de Matemática e Estatística-USP-Brazil
sergio@ime.usp.br

April 8, 2008

Abstract

In this work, it is proved the complete expansion for the second moment of the overlap function for the Sherrington-Kirkpatrick model. It is a technical result which takes advantage of the cavity method and other induction arguments.

1 Introduction

1.1 SK model

This work concernes the study of a quantity that plays an important role in the Sherrington-Kirkpatrick model (SK model). In the following, I describe the SK model. In this model, we have the particularity to obtain two levels of randomness. More precisely, we suppose that a certain system has N-particles and the set of all possible system configurations is $\Sigma_N = \{-1,+1\}^N$. We define the probability of realization of one configuration $\sigma \in \Sigma_N$ as:

$$\mathrm{P}_N(\sigma) = \frac{e^{\beta \mathrm{E}(\sigma)}}{Z_N},$$

where β is a parameter of the system (a positive real number), the term $Z_N = \sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma^N} e^{\beta\mathrm{E}(\sigma)}$ is a normalization factor and the term $\mathrm{E}(\sigma)$ represents the energy of the configuration σ. In this way, we obtain our first probabilistic model $(\Sigma_N, \mathcal{F}_N, \mathrm{P}_N)$. However, the measure P_N is a random measure because the energy of the configuration $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N)$ is a random variable defined by:

$$\mathrm{E}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{1\le i<j\le N} \sigma_i \sigma_j g_{i,j}.$$

The $(g_{i,j})_{1\le i<j\le N}$ is a family of independent standard gaussian random variables that live in a second probabilistic world $(\Omega_N, \mathcal{G}_N, \mathrm{G}_N)$. When we look at

---

[0]The author has been supported by the FAPESP.

the correlation between the energy levels of two different configurations σ^1 and σ^2, we obtain that

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\mathrm{E}(\sigma^1)\mathrm{E}(\sigma^2)\right] = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{1\le i<j\le N} \sigma_i^1\sigma_j^1\sigma_i^2\sigma_j^2 = \frac{1}{2N}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sigma_i^1\sigma_i^2\right)^2 - N\right].$$

Consequently, the correlation depends on the quantity

$$R_{1,2} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sigma_i^1\sigma_i^2$$

called overlap function.

If we have a function f defined in the product space Σ_N^n, we will denothe by $\langle f\rangle$ the expectation with respect to the product measure $P_N^{\otimes n}$ and by $\nu(f)$ the double expectation $\mathbf{E}\langle f\rangle$.

1.2 Previous Results

According to the literature, we can obtain result for two different regions: the one of high temperature (small β) and the one of low temperature (large β). The book [1] is a good survey of the results for the SK model and its generalizations from a mathematical point of view. During this work, we will be focus on the high temperature region. We can find, in the book [1], the following result:

Theorem 1.1 *Given $\beta < 1$, we have that:*

$$\nu(R_{1,2}^2) = \frac{1}{N(1-\beta^2)} + \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{3/2}}\right).$$

In the article [2], we can see the result.

Theorem 1.2 *Given $\beta < 1$, we have that:*

$$\nu(R_{1,2}^2) = \frac{1}{N(1-\beta^2)} - \frac{\beta^2(1+\beta^2)}{N^2(1-\beta^2)^4} + \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{\frac{5}{2}}}\right),$$

and they also obtained numerical results for the third term of the expansion.

Our goal is to obtain the complete expansion for $\nu(R_{1,2}^2)$.

1.3 Cavity Method

At this point, we introduce a family of fonctionals ν_t that depends on a parameter $t \in [0,1]$. First of all, given one function $f : \Sigma_N^n \to \mathbb{R}$, we define $\nu_t(f) = \mathbf{E}\langle f\rangle_t$ where:

$$\langle f\rangle_t = \sum_{\sigma^1,\ldots,\sigma^n\in\Sigma_N} \frac{f(\sigma^1,\ldots,\sigma^n)e^{\beta(\mathrm{E}_t(\sigma^1)+\ldots+\mathrm{E}_t(\sigma^n))}}{Z_{N,t}^n}$$

with the normalization factor given by:

$$Z_{N,t} = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_N} e^{\beta \mathrm{E}_t(\sigma)}$$

and the energy by:

$$\mathrm{E}_t(\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N-1} \sigma_i \sigma_j g_{i,j} + \left(\frac{t}{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_N \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N-1} \sigma_i g_{i,N}.$$

Therefore, if the parameter t takes the value one we have the usual energy of one configuration with N particles else t assumes the value zero we have the energy of one configuration with $N-1$ particles for a different temperature. Thus, we see the idea of induction with the introduction of the parameter t.

1.4 Some notations

The first idea used in this work is to perform a Taylor‘s series for the function $t \to \nu_t(f)$. When we do it the terms $\epsilon_{l_1} = \sigma_N^{l_1}$ appear for l_1 a positive integer and also appear the functions $R^-_{l_1,l'_1} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{1 \leq i \leq N-1} \sigma_i^{l_1} \sigma_i^{l'_1}$ for l'_1 another positive integer greater than l_1. The first differentiation of $\nu_t(f)$ satisfies:

Proposition 1.3 *Given a function f on Σ_N^n and $t \geq 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\nu'_t(f) = \beta^2 &\sum_{1 \leq l_1 < l'_1 \leq n} \nu_t(f \epsilon_{l_1} \epsilon_{l'_1} R^-_{l_1,l'_1}) \\ &- \beta^2 n \sum_{l_1 \leq n} \nu_t(f \epsilon_{l_1} \epsilon_{n+1} R^-_{l_1,n+1}) \\ &+ \beta^2 \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \nu_t(f \epsilon_{n+1} \epsilon_{n+2} R^-_{n+1,n+2})\end{aligned}$$

which the proof can be found in [1]. Let ℓ be an integer greater than or equal to one. When we take the ℓ^{th}-differentiation of $\nu_t(f)$ it appears the terms:

$$S^-_l = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \epsilon_{l_i} \epsilon_{l'_i} R^-_{l_i,l'_i},$$

with $l = (l_1, l'_1, \ldots, l_\ell, l'_\ell)$. These terms define naturally 3^ℓ sets of *sequences* of the type $(l_1, l'_1, \ldots, l_\ell, l'_\ell)$ denoted by $C^f_{\ell,m}$ with $m = 1, \ldots, 3^\ell$ and defined for $\ell = 1$ by:

$$\begin{aligned} C^f_{1,1} &= \{(l_1, l'_1) | 1 \leq l_1 < l'_1 \leq n\} \\ C^f_{1,2} &= \{(l_1, l'_1) | 1 \leq l_1 \leq n;\ l'_1 = n+1\} \\ C^f_{1,3} &= \{(l_1, l'_1) | l_1 = n+1;\ l'_1 = n+2\} \end{aligned}$$

where n is the number of configurations that f depends on. For $\ell \geq 2$ and each positive integer of the set $\left\{\lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil | m \in \{1, \ldots, 3^\ell\}\right\}$ we define recursively the numbers

$$M^f_{\ell-1,\lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil} = \{ \text{ the number of configurations of } fS^-_{\hat{l}} \text{ with } \hat{l} \in C_{\ell-1,\lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil}\} \quad (1)$$

and the sets:

$$\begin{aligned} C^f_{\ell,3\lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil-2} &= \{(l_1, \ldots, l_\ell, l'_\ell) | (l_1, \ldots, l'_{\ell-1}) \in C^f_{\ell-1,\lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil}, 1 \leq l_\ell < l'_\ell \leq M^f_{\ell-1,\lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil}\}, \\ C^f_{\ell,3\lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil-1} &= \{(l_1, \ldots, l_\ell, l'_\ell) | (l_1, \ldots, l'_{\ell-1}) \in C^f_{\ell-1,\lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil}, 1 \leq l_\ell \leq M^f_{\ell-1,\lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil} \text{ and} \\ &\qquad l'_\ell = M^f_{\ell-1,\lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil} + 1\}, \\ C^f_{\ell,3\lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil} &= \{(l_1, \ldots, l_\ell, l'_\ell) | (l_1, \ldots, l'_{\ell-1}) \in C^f_{\ell-1,\lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil}, l_\ell = M^f_{\ell-1,\lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil} + 1 \text{ and} \\ &\qquad l'_\ell = M^f_{\ell-1,\lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil} + 2\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

We observe that the numbers $M^f_{\ell,m}$ and the sets $C^f_{\ell,m}$ are well defined. For $\ell = 1$ we have that $M_{1,1} = n, M_{1,2} = n+1$ and $M_{1,3} = n+2$. By hypothesis of induction on ℓ we suppose that the numbers $M^f_{\ell-1,\lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil}$ and the sets $C^f_{\ell-1,\lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil}$ are well defined. Consequently, for each $m \in \{1, \ldots, 3^\ell\}$ by their definitions the sets $C^f_{\ell,3\lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil-2}, C^f_{\ell,3\lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil-1}$ and $C^f_{3\lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil}$ are also well defined and the numbers $M^f_{\ell,3\lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil-2} = M^f_{\ell-1,\lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil}, M^f_{\ell,3\lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil-1} = M^f_{\ell-1,\lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil} + 1$ and $M^f_{\ell,3\lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil} = M^f_{\ell-1,\lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil} + 2$.

Now, we also introduce the following notation: let u be a integer between 1 and ℓ. Given any sequence $l = (l_1, l'_1, \ldots, l_\ell, l'_\ell)$ we define for each u the set

$$G^u_l = \left\{l^u = (l_{i_1}, l'_{i_1}, \ldots, l_{i_u}, l'_{i_u}) | (i_1, \ldots, i_u) \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\} \text{ and are all different}\right\}.$$

and given a subsequence $l^u = (l_{i_1}, l'_{i_1}, \ldots, l_{i_u}, l'_{i_u}) \in G^u_l$ we also define the term $\epsilon^{l^u} = \prod_{r=1}^u \epsilon_{l_{i_r}} \epsilon_{l'_{i_r}}$, the term $\epsilon^{\hat{l}^u} = \epsilon^l \epsilon^{l^u}$ and the functions

$$R_{l^u} = R_{l_{i_1}, l'_{i_1}} \ldots R_{l_{i_u}, l'_{i_u}} \text{ and } R^-_{l^u} = R^-_{l_{i_1}, l'_{i_1}} \ldots R^-_{l_{i_1}, l'_{i_1}}.$$

We also introduce the coefficient $\rho^f(l)$ defined in the Proposition below which the proof can be found in the section **A Result of Differentiation**:

Proposition 1.4 *Given a function f on Σ^n_N and $t \geq 0$, we obtain that for a positive integer ℓ:*

$$\nu^{(\ell)}_t(f) = \sum_{m=1}^{3^\ell} \sum_{l \in C^f_{\ell,m}} \beta^{2\ell} \rho^f(l) \nu_t(fS^-_l),$$

The coefficients $\rho^f(l)$ are defined for ℓ equals to one by: $\rho^f(l) = 1$ if $l \in C^f_{1,1}$, $\rho^f(l) = -n$ if $l \in C^f_{1,2}$ and $\rho^f(l) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ if $l \in C^f_{1,3}$. Given ℓ greater or *equal to two they are defined recursively by: we consider that* $l = (\hat{l}, l_\ell, l'_\ell)$ *with* $\hat{l} \in C^f_{\ell-1,\lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil}$ *for some* m *then*

$$\rho^f(l) = \begin{cases} \rho^f(\hat{l}), & \textit{if } l \in C^f_{\ell,3\lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil - 2}, \\ -\rho^f(\hat{l}) M^f_{\ell-1,\lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil}, & \textit{if } l \in C^f_{\ell,3\lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil - 1} \textit{ and} \\ \rho^f(\hat{l}) \frac{M^f_{\ell-1,\lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil}(M^f_{\ell-1,\lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil}+1)}{2} & \textit{if } l \in C^f_{\ell,3\lceil \frac{m}{3} \rceil} \end{cases}$$

1.5 Contributions

The main results of this work are the following ones:

Lemma 1.5 *Given* β *a positive real number less than one. We determine for all sequence* $l = (l_1, l_1, \ldots, l_\ell, l'_\ell)$ *such that* $l_i < l'_i$ *for all* $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$ *and* $\epsilon^l = 1$ *with* $\ell \leq 2k$ *for a positive integer* k *and* $l = (\hat{l}, l_\ell, l'_\ell)$, *the coefficients* λ^l_j *such that*

$$\nu_0(\epsilon^l R^-_l) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{\lambda^l_j}{N^j} + \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}\right).$$

(a) if k *is equal to one the only possible value for* ℓ *is* 2 *and we have*

$$\lambda^l_1 = \frac{1}{(1-\beta^2)},$$

(b) if $k \geq 2$ *and* $\ell = 2k$ *it enoughs to know the value of the coefficients* λ^γ_j *for* $j = 1, \ldots, k-1$ *of all sequences* $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma'_1, \ldots, \gamma_z, \gamma'_z)$ *such that* $z \leq 2k-2$ *and* $\epsilon^\gamma = 1$. *Then,*

$$\lambda^l_k = \frac{1}{1-\beta^2}\left[\sum_{u=1}^{2k-2} \sum_{l^u \in G^u_l} \sum_{v=1}^{u} \sum_{l^{u,v} \in G^v_{l^u}} (-1)^{2k-u} \lambda^{l^{u,v}}_{v-k} + \sum_{u=1}^{2k-2} \sum_{\hat{l}^u \in G^u_{\hat{l}}} \lambda^{\hat{l}^u}_{u+1-k} + \right.$$
$$\left. + \sum_{u=1}^{2k-2} \sum_{\hat{l}^u \in G^u_{\hat{l}}} \sum_{r=1}^{2k-2-u} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r} \sum_{\eta \in C^{\epsilon^{\hat{l}^u} R_{\hat{l}^u}}_{r,p}} \beta^{2r} \rho^{\epsilon^{\hat{l}^u} R_{\hat{l}^u}}(\eta) \lambda^{(\hat{l}^u,\eta)}_{u+1-k} \right]$$

and the coefficients λ_j *with* j *negative are all of them equal to zero.*

(c) if $k \geq 2$ *and* $2 \leq \ell = \hat{k} < 2k$ *it enoughs to know the coefficients* λ^γ_j *for* $j = 1, \ldots, k-1$ *for all sequences* $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma'_1, \ldots, \gamma_z, \gamma'_z)$ *such that*

$z \leq 2k-2$ and $\epsilon^{\gamma}=1$ and λ_k^{γ} if $\hat{k} < z \leq 2k$ and $\epsilon^{\gamma}=1$. Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_k^l = \frac{1}{1-\beta^2}\Bigg[& \sum_{u=1}^{\hat{k}-1} \sum_{l^u \in G_l^u} \sum_{v=1}^{u} \sum_{l^{u,v} \in G_{l^u}^v} (-1)^{\hat{k}-u} \lambda_{k-\hat{k}+v}^{l^{u,v}} + \mathrm{I}_{\{\hat{k}=1+k\}} \\
& + \sum_{u=1}^{\hat{k}-2} \sum_{\hat{l}^u \in G_{\hat{l}}^u} \Bigg[\lambda_{k-\hat{k}+1+u}^{\hat{l}^u} + \sum_{r=1}^{2k-2-u} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^{\hat{l}} R_{\hat{l}}} \\ \epsilon^{\hat{l}^u} \epsilon^{\eta} = 1}} \frac{\beta^{2r} \rho^{\epsilon^{\hat{l}} R_{\hat{l}}}(\eta)}{r!} \lambda_{k-\hat{k}+1+u}^{(\hat{l}^u,\eta)} \Bigg] \\
& \sum_{r=2}^{2k-\hat{k}+1} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^{\hat{l}} R_{\hat{l}}} \\ \epsilon^{\hat{l}} \epsilon^{\eta} = 1}} \frac{\beta^{2r} \rho^{\epsilon^{\hat{l}} R_{\hat{l}}}(\eta)}{r!} \lambda_k^{(\hat{l},\eta)} - \sum_{r=1}^{2k-\hat{k}} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^{l} R_{l}} \\ \epsilon^{\eta} = 1}} \Bigg(\lambda_{k-\hat{k}}^{\eta} \\
& \frac{\beta^{2r} \rho^{\epsilon^{l} R_{l}}(\eta)}{r!} \Bigg) - \sum_{r=1}^{2k-\hat{k}} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r} \sum_{\eta \in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^{l} R_{l}}} \frac{\beta^{2r} \rho^{\epsilon^{l} R_{l}}(\eta)}{r!} \sum_{u=1}^{\hat{k}-1} \sum_{\substack{l^u \in G_l^u \\ \epsilon^{l^u} \epsilon^{\eta} = 1}} \lambda_{k-\hat{k}+u}^{(l^u,\eta)} \Bigg],
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathrm{I}_{\hat{k}=1+k}$ is the indicator function of the set $\{\hat{k} | \hat{k} = k+1\}$.

In this work, we also demonstrate the complete expansion for the Expression of $\nu(R_{1,2}^2)$:

Theorem 1.6 *Let β be less than one and k_0 be an integer positive $k_0 \geq 1$. Then,*

$$\nu(R_{1,2}^2) = \frac{1}{N} + \sum_{j=1}^{k_0} \frac{c_j(\beta)}{N^j} + \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{k_0+\frac{1}{2}}}\right)$$

with

$$c_j(\beta) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{2k_0-1} \sum_{m=1}^{3^r} \sum_{\substack{l \in C_{\ell,m}^{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 R_{1,2}^-} \\ \epsilon_1\epsilon_2\epsilon^{l} = 1}} \frac{\beta^{2\ell} \rho^{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 R_{1,2}^-}(l)}{\ell!} \lambda_j^{(1,2,l)}$$

and the coefficients $\lambda_j^{(1,2,l)}$ are defined in the Lemma 1.5.

This Theorem is quoted by Talagrand in [1] as a research problem.

In the next section, we prove the Proposition 1.4. In the third section, we obtain some preliminary results. In the following section, we prove the Lemma 1.5 and in the last section, we obtain the proof of Theorem 1.6.

2 A Result of Differentiation

Our first result concernes the differentiation of order ℓ for a function f which produces:

The proof of Proposition 1.4 The proof is by induction on ℓ. The case ℓ equals to one is the result obtained in the proposition 1.3. We suppose that the result is true for $\ell - 1$. Then, we have that

$$\nu_t^{\ell-1}(f) = \sum_{m=1}^{3^{\ell-1}} \sum_{\hat{l} \in C^f_{\ell-1,m}} \beta^{2(\ell-1)} \rho^f(\hat{l}) \nu_t(f S^-_{\hat{l}})$$

At this point, we remember the definition of the numbers $M^f_{\ell,m}$ given by Equation (1) and we apply the Propositon 1.3 for the function $g = fS_{\hat{l}}$, then we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_t^{\ell}(f) = \sum_{m=1}^{3^{\ell-1}} \sum_{\hat{l} \in C^f_{\ell-1,m}} \beta^{2(\ell-1)} \rho^f(\hat{l}) \Bigg(& \sum_{1 \le l_\ell < l'_\ell \le M^f_{\ell-1,m}} \beta^2 \nu_t(f S^-_{l} S^-_{l_\ell, l'_\ell}) + \\ & - \sum_{1 \le l_\ell \le M^f_{\ell-1,m}} \beta^2 M^f_{\ell-1,m} \nu_t(f S^-_{\hat{l}} S^-_{l_\ell, M^f_{\ell-1,m}}) \\ & + \beta^2 \frac{M^f_{\ell-1,m}(M^f_{\ell-1,m}+1)}{2} \nu_t(f S^-_{\hat{l}} S^-_{M^f_{\ell-1,m}+1, M^f_{\ell-1,m}+2}) \Bigg) \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows when we look at the definition of the sets $C^f_{\ell,m}$ and the fact that $S^-_{\hat{l}} S^-_{l_\ell, l'_\ell} = S^-_{\hat{l}, l_\ell, l'_\ell}$ $\blacksquare$

Using the Proposition 1.4 and perfoming a Taylor series, we obtain that

$$\nu(f) = \nu_0(f) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{3^\ell} \sum_{l \in C^f_{\ell,m}} \frac{\beta^{2\ell} \rho^f(l)}{\ell!} \nu_0(f S^-_l) \tag{3}$$

Some terms in the above expression vanish, in reason of the following result:

Proposition 2.1 *Let f be a function defined on Σ^n_N. Assume $f = f^- f'$ where f^- is a function of the $N-1$-system, and f' depends only on $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n$. If $Av\, f' = 0$ (where Av means average on $\epsilon_1 = \pm, \dots, \epsilon_n = \pm 1$) then*

$$\nu_0(f) = 0.$$

The proof of this Proposition can be also found in [1].

3 The case $\epsilon^l R_l$

We can write for any sequence $l = (l_1, l'_1, \ldots, l_\ell, l'_\ell)$ that

$$\epsilon^l R_l = \epsilon^l \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \left(R^-_{l_i, l'_i} + \frac{\epsilon_{l_i} \epsilon_{l'_i}}{N} \right) = \epsilon^l \left(\frac{\epsilon^l}{N^\ell} + \sum_{u=1}^{\ell} \sum_{l^u \in G^u_l} \frac{R^-_{l^u} \epsilon^{\hat{l}^u}}{N^{\ell-u}} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{N^\ell} + \sum_{u=1}^{\ell} \sum_{l^u \in G^u_l} \frac{\epsilon^{l^u} R^-_{l^u}}{N^{\ell-u}} \tag{4}$$

which produces the following result:

Proposition 3.1 *Given any sequence $l = (l_1, l'_1, \ldots, l_\ell, l'_\ell)$ such that $l_i < l'_i$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$, we obtain that*

$$\nu(\epsilon^l R_l) = \frac{1}{N^\ell} + \sum_{u=1}^{\ell} \sum_{l^u \in G^u_l} \frac{\nu_0(\epsilon^{l^u} R^-_{l^u})}{N^{\ell-u}}$$

$$+ \sum_{u=1}^{\ell} \sum_{l^u \in G^u_l} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in C^{\epsilon^{l^u} R^-_{l^u}}_{r,p} \\ \epsilon^{l^u} \epsilon^\eta = 1}} \frac{1}{N^{\ell-u}} \frac{\beta^{2r} \rho^{\epsilon^{l^u} R^-_{l^u}}(\eta)}{r!} \nu_0(R^-_{l^u} R^-_\eta)$$

Proof We start by applying the Equation (3) for each one of the functions in Equation (4), in reason of the linearity of ν, then we obtain that

$$\nu(\epsilon^l R_l) = \frac{1}{N^\ell} + \sum_{u=1}^{\ell} \sum_{l^u \in G^u_l} \frac{1}{N^{\ell-u}} \Bigg(\nu_0(\epsilon^{l^u} R^-_{l^u}) +$$

$$+ \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r} \sum_{\eta \in C^{\epsilon^{l^u} R^-_{l_u}}_{r,p}} \frac{\beta^{2r} \rho^{\epsilon^{l^u} R^-_{l^u}}(\eta)}{r!} \nu_0(\epsilon^{l^u} R^-_{l^u} S^-_\eta) \Bigg)$$

We remark that $S^-_\eta = \epsilon^\eta R^-_\eta$. Consequently, We can make the constraint that $\epsilon^\eta \epsilon^{l^u} = 1$ in reason of the Proposition 2.1 which concludes the proof ∎

We also prove another relation:

Proposition 3.2 *Let l be a sequence $(l_1, l'_1, \ldots, l_\ell, l'_\ell)$ and $\hat{l} = (l_1, \ldots, l'_{\ell-1})$ such* *that* $\epsilon^l = 1$ *and* $l_i < l_i'$ *for all* $i = 1, \dots, \ell$. *Then, we obtain that*

$$\nu_0(\epsilon^l R_l^-) = \frac{(-1)^\ell}{N^\ell} + \sum_{u=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{l^u \in G_l^u} \frac{(-1)^{\ell-u}}{N^{\ell-u}} \nu(\epsilon^{l^u} R_{l^u}) + \nu(\epsilon^{\hat{l}} R_{\hat{l}})$$

$$\sum_{u=1}^{\ell} \sum_{l^u \in G_l^u} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^{l^u} R_{l^u}} \\ \epsilon^\eta = 1}} \frac{(-1)^{\ell-u+1} \beta^{2r} \rho^{\epsilon^{l^u} R_{l^u}}(\eta)}{r! N^\ell} \nu_0(\epsilon^\eta R_\eta^-) + \sum_{u=1}^{\ell}$$

$$\sum_{l^u \in G_l^u} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r} \sum_{\eta \in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^{l^u} R_{l^u}}} \sum_{v=1}^{u} \sum_{\substack{l^{u,v} \in G_{l^u}^v \\ \epsilon^{l^{u,v}} \epsilon^\eta = 1}} \frac{(-1)^{\ell-u+1} \beta^{2r} \rho^{\epsilon^{l^u} R_{l^u}}(\eta)}{r! N^{\ell-v}} \nu_0(R_{l^{u,v}}^- R_\eta^-)$$

we observe that if $\epsilon^l \neq 1$ *the Equation is also true but it is equal to zero.*

Proof Given the sequence $l = (l_1, l_1', \dots, l_\ell, l_\ell')$ we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon^l R_l^- &= \epsilon^l \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \left(R_{l_i, l_i'} - \frac{\epsilon_{l_i} \epsilon_{l_i'}}{N} \right) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^\ell}{N^\ell} + \sum_{u=1}^{\ell} \sum_{l^u \in G_l^u} \frac{(-1)^{\ell-u} \epsilon^{l^u} R_{l^u}}{N^{\ell-u}} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain by linearity that

$$\nu_0(\epsilon^l R_l^-) = \frac{(-1)^\ell}{N^\ell} + \sum_{u=1}^{\ell} \sum_{l^u \in G_l^u} \frac{(-1)^{\ell-u}}{N^{\ell-u}} \nu_0(\epsilon^{l^u} R_{l^u})$$

When, we apply above the Equation (3) for each one of the term in the right side, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_0(\epsilon^l R_l^-) = {} & \frac{(-1)^\ell}{N^\ell} + \sum_{u=1}^{\ell} \sum_{l^u \in G_l^u} \frac{(-1)^{\ell-u}}{N^{\ell-u}} \nu(\epsilon^{l^u} R_{l^u}) \\ & + \sum_{u=1}^{\ell} \sum_{l^u \in G_l^u} \frac{(-1)^{\ell-u+1}}{N^{\ell-u}} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r} \sum_{\eta \in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^{l^u} R_{l^u}}} \frac{\beta^{2r} \rho^{\epsilon^{l^u} R_{l^u}}(\eta)}{r!} \nu_0(\epsilon^{l^u} R_{l^u} S_\eta^-) \end{aligned}$$

Now, we use the fact that $S_\eta^- = \epsilon^\eta R_\eta^-$ and we use again the relation (4) for each term $\epsilon^{l^u} R_{l^u}$ then

$$\nu_0(\epsilon^l R_l^-) = \frac{(-1)^\ell}{N^\ell} + \sum_{u=1}^{\ell} \sum_{l^u \in G_l^u} \frac{(-1)^{\ell-u}}{N^{\ell-u}} \nu(\epsilon^{l^u} R_{l^u}) + \sum_{u=1}^{\ell} \sum_{l^u \in G_l^u} \frac{(-1)^{\ell-u+1}}{N^{\ell-u}} \Bigg(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r} \sum_{\eta \in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^{l^u} R_{l^u}}} \frac{\beta^{2r} \rho^{\epsilon^{l^u} R_{l^u}}(\eta)}{r!} \frac{1}{N^u} \nu_0(\epsilon^\eta R_\eta^-) \Bigg) + \sum_{u=1}^{\ell} \sum_{l^u \in G_l^u} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r} \sum_{\eta \in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^{l^u} R_{l_u}}} \sum_{v=1}^{u} \sum_{l^{u,v} \in G_{l^u}^v} \Bigg(\frac{(-1)^{\ell-u+1}}{N^{\ell-v}} \frac{\beta^{2r} \rho^{\epsilon^{l^u} R_{l^u}}(\eta)}{r!} \nu_0(\epsilon^{l^{u,v}} R_{l^{u,v}}^- \epsilon^\eta R_\eta^-) \Bigg) \Bigg).$$

the conditions $\epsilon^\eta = 1$ in the third term and $\epsilon^{l^{u,v}} \epsilon^\eta = 1$ in the last term are obtained by one application of the Proposition 2.1. The result is proved by the fact that

$$\nu(\epsilon^l R_l) = \nu(R_l) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{N} \nu(\sigma_i^{l_\ell} \sigma_i^{l'_\ell} R_{\hat{l}}) = \nu(\epsilon^{l_\ell} \epsilon^{l'_\ell} R_{\hat{l}}) = \nu(\epsilon^{\hat{l}} R_{\hat{l}})$$

where we have used in the last two equalities the property of symmetry of the sites and that $1 = \epsilon^l = \epsilon^{\hat{l}} \epsilon^{l_\ell} \epsilon^{l'_\ell}$. $\blacksquare$

Indeed, we can simplify more this last expression. We obtain that

Proposition 3.3 *Let $l = (l_1, l'_1, \ldots, l_\ell, l'_\ell)$ be a sequence and we consider $\hat{l} = (l_1, \ldots, l'_{\ell-1})$ such that $\epsilon^l = 1$ and $l_i < l'_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$. Then, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_0(\epsilon^l R_l^-) = {} & \sum_{u=1}^{\ell-2} \sum_{l^u \in G_l^u} \sum_{v=1}^{u} \sum_{l^{u,v} \in G_{l^u}^v} \frac{(-1)^{\ell-u}}{N^{\ell-v}} \nu_0(\epsilon^{l^{u,v}} R_{l^{u,v}}^-) + \frac{1}{N^{\ell-1}} \\ & + \sum_{u=1}^{\ell-2} \sum_{\hat{l}^u \in G_{\hat{l}}^u} \frac{\nu_0(\epsilon^{\hat{l}^u} R_{\hat{l}^u}^-)}{N^{\ell-1-u}} + \sum_{u=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{\hat{l}^u \in G_{\hat{l}}^u} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^{\hat{l}^u} R_{\hat{l}^u}} \\ \epsilon^{\hat{l}^u} \epsilon^\eta = 1}} \\ & \frac{\beta^{2r} \rho^{\epsilon^{\hat{l}^u} R_{\hat{l}^u}}(\eta)}{N^{\ell-1-u} r!} \nu_0(R_{\hat{l}^u}^- R_\eta^-) - \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^l R_l} \\ \epsilon^\eta = 1}} \frac{\beta^{2r} \rho^{\epsilon^l R_l}(\eta)}{r! N^\ell} \nu_0(\epsilon^\eta R_\eta^-) \\ & - \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r} \sum_{\eta \in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^l R_l}} \sum_{u=1}^{\ell} \sum_{\substack{l^u \in G_l^u \\ \epsilon^{l^u} \epsilon^\eta = 1}} \frac{\beta^{2r} \rho^{\epsilon^l R_l}(\eta)}{r! N^{\ell-u}} \nu_0(R_{l^u}^- R_\eta^-). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Proof Using Proposition 3.2 and Equation (3) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nu_0(\epsilon^l R_l^-) = \frac{(-1)^\ell}{N^\ell} + \sum_{u=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{l^u \in G_l^u} \frac{(-1)^{\ell-u}}{N^{\ell-u}} \nu_0(\epsilon^{l^u} R_{l^u}) + \sum_{u=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{l^u \in G_l^u} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r} \\
&\sum_{\eta \in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^{l^u} R_{l^u}}} \frac{(-1)^{\ell-u}}{N^{\ell-u}} \frac{\beta^{2r} \rho^{\epsilon^{l^u} R_{l^u}}(\eta)}{r!} \nu_0(\epsilon^{l^u} R_{l^u} S_\eta^-) + \nu(\epsilon^{\hat{l}} R_{\hat{l}}) + \\
&\sum_{u=1}^{\ell} \sum_{l^u \in G_l^u} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^{l^u} R_{l^u}} \\ \epsilon^\eta = 1}} \frac{(-1)^{\ell-u+1} \beta^{2r} \rho^{\epsilon^{l^u} R_{l^u}}(\eta)}{r! N^\ell} \nu_0(\epsilon^\eta R_\eta^-) + \sum_{u=1}^{\ell} \\
&\sum_{l^u \in G_l^u} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r} \sum_{\eta \in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^{l^u} R_{l^u}}} \sum_{v=1}^{u} \sum_{\substack{l^{u,v} \in G_{l^u}^v \\ \epsilon^{l^{u,v}} \epsilon^\eta = 1}} \frac{(-1)^{\ell-u+1} \beta^{2r} \rho^{\epsilon^{l^u} R_{l^u}}(\eta)}{r! N^{\ell-v}} \nu_0(R_{l^{u,v}}^- R_\eta^-).
\end{aligned}$$

At this point, we aplly relation (4) then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nu_0(\epsilon^l R_l^-) = \frac{(-1)^\ell}{N^\ell} + \sum_{u=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{l^u \in G_l^u} \frac{(-1)^{\ell-u}}{N^{\ell-u}} \Big(\frac{1}{N^u} + \sum_{v=1}^{u} \sum_{l^{u,v} \in G_{l^u}^v} \frac{1}{N^{u-v}} \times \\
&\times \nu_0(\epsilon^{l^{u,v}} R_{l^{u,v}}^-) \Big) + \sum_{u=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{l^u \in G_l^u} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r} \sum_{\eta \in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^{l^u} R_{l^u}}} \frac{(-1)^{\ell-u} \beta^{2r} \rho^{\epsilon^{l^u} R_{l^u}}(\eta)}{N^{\ell-u} r!} \frac{1}{N^u} \times \\
&\times \nu_0(S_\eta^-) + \sum_{u=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{l^u \in G_l^u} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r} \sum_{\eta \in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^{l^u} R_{l^u}}} \sum_{v=1}^{u} \sum_{l^{u,v} \in G_{l^u}^v} \frac{(-1)^{\ell-u} \beta^{2r} \rho^{\epsilon^{l^u} R_{l^u}}(\eta)}{N^{\ell-u} r!} \times \\
&\times \frac{1}{N^{u-v}} \nu_0(\epsilon^{l^{u,v}} R_{l^{u,v}}^- \epsilon^\eta R_\eta^-) + \nu(\epsilon^{\hat{l}} R_{\hat{l}}) + \sum_{u=1}^{\ell} \sum_{l^u \in G_l^u} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^{l^u} R_{l^u}} \\ \epsilon^\eta = 1}} \\
&\frac{(-1)^{\ell-u+1} \beta^{2r} \rho^{\epsilon^{l^u} R_{l^u}}(\eta)}{r! N^\ell} \nu_0(\epsilon^\eta R_\eta^-) + \sum_{u=1}^{\ell} \sum_{l^u \in G_l^u} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r} \sum_{\eta \in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^{l^u} R_{l^u}}} \sum_{v=1}^{u} \\
&\sum_{\substack{l^{u,v} \in G_{l^u}^v \\ \epsilon^{l^{u,v}} \epsilon^\eta = 1}} \frac{(-1)^{\ell-u+1} \beta^{2r} \rho^{\epsilon^{l^u} R_{l^u}}(\eta)}{r! N^{\ell-v}} \nu_0(R_{l^{u,v}}^- R_\eta^-). \qquad (6)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we make some remarks. The first one

$$\frac{(-1)^{\ell}}{N^{\ell}} + \sum_{u=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{l^u \in G_l^u} \frac{(-1)^{\ell-u}}{N^{\ell}} = 0$$

by the binomial expansion of $(1-1)^{\ell}$. In the Equation (6) we can make the assumptioms that in the second line $\epsilon^{\eta} = 1$ and in the third line $\epsilon^{l^{u,v}} \epsilon^{\eta} = 1$ thanks to Proposition 2.1. Consequently, if we do the simplifications we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu_0(\epsilon^l R_l^-) = & \sum_{u=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{l^u \in G_l^u} \sum_{v=1}^{u} \sum_{l^{u,v} \in G_{l^u}^v} \frac{(-1)^{\ell-u}}{N^{\ell-v}} \nu_0(\epsilon^{l^{u,v}} R_{l^{u,v}}^-) + \nu(\epsilon^{\hat{l}} R_{\hat{l}}) \\
& - \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^l R_l} \\ \epsilon^{\eta} = 1}} \frac{\beta^{2r} \rho^{\epsilon^l R_l}(\eta)}{r! N^{\ell}} \nu_0(\epsilon^{\eta} R_{\eta}^-) \\
& - \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r} \sum_{\eta \in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^l R_l}} \sum_{u=1}^{\ell} \sum_{\substack{l^u \in G_l^u \\ \epsilon^{l^u} \epsilon^{\eta} = 1}} \frac{\beta^{2r} \rho^{\epsilon^l R_l}(\eta)}{r! N^{\ell-u}} \nu_0(R_{l^u}^- R_{\eta}^-).
\end{aligned}$$

We observe that, in the first summation, the terms with $u = \ell - 1$ vanish because $\epsilon^{l^{\ell-1}} \neq 1$ as $\epsilon^l = 1$. In order to have the result annouced we look at the term $\nu(\epsilon^{\hat{l}} R_{\hat{l}})$ and we aplly the Proposition 3.1. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu(\epsilon^{\hat{l}} R_{\hat{l}}) = & \frac{1}{N^{\ell-1}} + \sum_{u=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{\hat{l}^u \in G_{\hat{l}}^u} \frac{\nu_0(\epsilon^{\hat{l}^u} R_{\hat{l}^u}^-)}{N^{\ell-1-u}} \\
& + \sum_{u=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{\hat{l}^u \in G_{\hat{l}}^u} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^{\hat{l}^u} R_{\hat{l}^u}} \\ \epsilon^{\hat{l}^u} \epsilon^{\eta} = 1}} \frac{1}{N^{\ell-1-u}} \frac{\beta^{2r} \rho^{\epsilon^{\hat{l}^u} R_{\hat{l}^u}}(\eta)}{r!} \nu_0(R_{\hat{l}^u}^- R_{\eta}) \quad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

and we finish the proof observing that the term $\nu_0(\epsilon^{\hat{l}} R_{\hat{l}})$ is equal to zero because $\epsilon^{\hat{l}} = \epsilon_{l_\ell} \epsilon_{l'_\ell}$ and we can apply Proposition 2.1. We remark that the first summation, in the Equation (7), vanishes if ℓ is equal to 2 ∎

Soon, we will need the following estimations

Proposition 3.4 *For all values of* β *less than one, given* $\ell \geq 1$ *and a sequence* $l = (l_1, l'_1, \ldots, l_\ell, l'_\ell)$ *we have*

(a) $\nu(S_l^-), \nu(S_l)$ *and* $\nu_0(S_l^-)$ *are all of them* $\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{\frac{\ell}{2}}}\right)$,

(b) for any function f defined on Σ_N^n and any positive integer u and a real number t in the interval $[0,1]$. There exists a constant $\kappa = \kappa(\beta, u, n)$ such that

$$\left|\nu_t^{(u)}(f)\right| \leq \frac{\kappa}{N^{\frac{u}{2}}}\nu(f^2)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

(c) for any real number t in the inteval $[0,1]$ and any positive integer u: $\nu_t^{(u)}(S_l^-)$ and $\nu_t^{(u)}(S_l)$ are of order $\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{\frac{u+\ell}{2}}}\right)$.

Proof The proof of (a) can be found in [2] of (b) in [1]. The result (c) is a consequence of (a) and (b).

Given a sequence $l = (l_1, \ldots, l'_\ell)$ such that $\epsilon^l = 1$ and $l_i < l'_i$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$ with ℓ a positive integer less or equal to $2k$ where k is a positive integer, we want to find out the expansion:

$$\nu_0(\epsilon^l R_l^-) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{\lambda_j^l(\beta)}{N^j} + \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}\right).$$

First, we observe the case where k is equal to one. There is no sequence l such that $\ell = 1$ and $\epsilon^l = 1$ with $l_1 < l'_1$. Hence, we look at the following particular result

Proposition 3.5 *Let β be a positive real number less than one then*

$$\nu_0(R_{1,2}^- R_{1,2}^-) = \frac{1}{N(1-\beta^2)} + \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right).$$

Proof When we use the Proposition 3.3, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu_0(R_{1,2}^- R_{1,2}^-) = {} & \frac{1}{N} + \beta^2 \nu_0(R_{1,2}^- R_{1,2}^-) \\
& + \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 R_{1,2}} \\ \epsilon^\eta \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 = 1}} \frac{\beta^{2r} \rho^{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 R_{1,2}}(\eta)}{r!} \nu_0(R_{1,2}^- R_\eta^-) \\
& - \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in C_{r,p}^{R_{1,2}^2} \\ \epsilon^\eta = 1}} \frac{\beta^{2r} \rho^{R_{1,2}^2}(\eta)}{r! N^2} \nu_0(\epsilon^\eta R_\eta^-) + \\
& - \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r} \sum_{\eta \in C_{r,p}^{R_{1,2}^2}} \sum_{u=1}^{2} \sum_{\substack{l^u \in G_{1,2,1,2}^u \\ \epsilon^{l^u} \epsilon^\eta = 1}} \frac{\beta^{2r} \rho^{R_{1,2}^2}(\eta)}{r! N^{2-u}} \nu_0(R_{l^u}^- R_\eta^-) \qquad (8)
\end{aligned}$$

We observe that for the first summation we can forget the condition $\epsilon_1\epsilon_2\epsilon^{\eta}=1$ because the other elements vanish and we can replace $C_{r,p}^{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 R_{1,2}}$ by $C_{r,p}^{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 R^-_{1,2}}$ and $\rho^{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 R_{1,2}}(\eta)$ by $\rho^{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 R^-_{1,2}}(\eta)$ as the functions $R_{1,2}$ and $R^-_{1,2}$ are different by only one constant that does not depends on any configuration which implies:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{r=2}^{\infty}\sum_{p=1}^{3^r}\sum_{\substack{\eta\in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 R_{1,2}}\\ \epsilon_1\epsilon_2\epsilon^{\eta}=1}} \frac{\beta^{2r}\rho^{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 R_{1,2}}(\eta)}{r!}\nu_0(R^-_{1,2}R^-_{\eta})=\\
&=\sum_{r=2}^{\infty}\sum_{p=1}^{3^r}\sum_{\eta\in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 R^-_{1,2}}} \frac{\beta^{2r}\rho^{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 R^-_{1,2}}(\eta)}{r!}\nu_0(\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 R^-_{1,2}\epsilon^{\eta}R^-_{\eta})\\
&=\nu_{t_1}^{(2)}(\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 R^-_{1,2})=\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)
\end{aligned}\tag{9}$$

In the last two equality, we have just used the fact that the summation is the error term in the Taylor expansion of order one for the function $\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 R^-_{1,2}$ and the Proposition 3.4 item (c) where t_1 is a real number in the interval $[0,1]$.

Now, we dont consider the constraints $\epsilon^{\eta}=1$ and $\epsilon^{l^u}\epsilon^{\eta}=1$ in the other summation then using relation (4) the other summations can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\sum_{p=1}^{3^r}\sum_{\eta\in C_{r,p}^{R^2_{1,2}}} \frac{\beta^{2r}\rho^{R^2_{1,2}}(\eta)}{r!}\left(\frac{\nu_0(\epsilon^{\eta}R^-_{\eta})}{N^2}+\sum_{u=1}^{2}\sum_{l^u\in G^u_{1,2,1,2}}\frac{1}{N^{2-u}}\times\right.\\
&\left.\times\,\nu_0(\epsilon^{l^u}R^-_{l^u}\epsilon^{\eta}R^-_{\eta})\right)=\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\sum_{p=1}^{3^r}\sum_{\eta\in C_{r,p}^{R^2_{1,2}}} \frac{\beta^{2r}\rho^{R^2_{1,2}}(\eta)}{r!}\nu_0(R^2_{1,2}\epsilon^{\eta}R^-_{\eta})\\
&=\nu_{t_2}^{(1)}(R^2_{1,2})=\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

where the last summation can be seen as the error term in the Taylor expansion of order zero for the function $\nu(R^2_{1,2})$ and we used again the Proposition 3.4 item (c).

Putting together the Equations (8),(9) and (10) we obtain

$$\nu_0(R^-_{1,2}R^-_{1,2})=\frac{1}{N}+\beta^2\nu_0(R^-_{1,2}R^-_{1,2})+\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)$$

which concludes the proof ■

4 The proof of Lemma 1.5

Proof We observe that $\nu_0(\epsilon^l R^-_l)=\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{\frac{\ell}{2}}}\right)$ by Proposition 3.4 item (a) which implies $\lambda^l_j=0$ for any nonpositive integer number j and any sequence l.

(Step 0): The case $k = 1$

If $k = 1$ the sequences $l = (l_1, l'_1, \dots, l_\ell, l'_\ell)$ such that $\ell \leq 2$ and $\epsilon^\ell = 1$ are of the type (l_1, l'_1, l_1, l'_1). As $R^-_{l_1,l'_1}$ depends on only two configurations we have that

$$\nu_0(R^-_{l_1,l'_1} R^-_{l_1,l'_1}) = \nu_0(R^-_{1,2} R^-_{1,2}) = \frac{1}{N(1-\beta^2)} + \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right), \tag{11}$$

where we have used in the last equality the Proposition 3.5. Hence, we have proved (a).

(Step 1): the case $k \geq 2$ with $\ell = 2k$

The proof is by induction on k. The case $k = 1$ has been proved in the *Step 0*. Now, we suppose to know all of the coefficients λ_j^ℓ for all sequences l such that $\ell \leq 2k-2$ and $j = 1, \dots, k-1$. First, we evaluate the coefficient λ_k^l for a sequence l such that $\ell = 2k$. We apply the hypothesis of induction in the respectively terms in the expression of Proposition 3.3 which implies

$$\nu_0(\epsilon^l R^-_l) = A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + A_4$$

The term of the first and second summations in (5):

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 = &\sum_{u=1}^{2k-2} \sum_{l^u \in G^u_l} \sum_{v=1}^{u} \sum_{l^{u,v} \in G^v_{l^u}} \frac{(-1)^{2k-u}}{N^{2k-v}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{\lambda_j^{l^{u,v}}}{N^j} + \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}\right)\right) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{N^{2k-1}} + \sum_{u=1}^{2k-2} \sum_{\hat{l}^u \in G^u_{\hat{l}}} \frac{1}{N^{2k-1-u}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{\lambda_j^{\hat{l}^u}}{N^j} + \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}\right)\right), \end{aligned}$$

the term of the third summation in (5) with $u \leq 2k-2$:

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 = \sum_{u=1}^{2k-2} \sum_{\hat{l}^u \in G^u_{\hat{l}}} \Bigg[&\sum_{r=1}^{2k-2-u} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^{\hat{l}^u} R_{\hat{l}^u}} \\ \epsilon^{\hat{l}^u} \epsilon^\eta = 1}} \frac{\beta^{2r} \rho^{\epsilon^{\hat{l}^u} R_{\hat{l}^u}}(\eta)}{N^{2k-1-u} r!} \Bigg(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{\lambda_j^{(\hat{l}^u,\eta)}}{N^j} \\ &+ \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}\right)\Bigg) + \sum_{r \geq 2k-1-u} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^{\hat{l}^u} R_{\hat{l}^u}} \\ \epsilon^{\hat{l}^u} \epsilon^\eta = 1}} \frac{\beta^{2r} \rho^{\epsilon^{\hat{l}^u} R_{\hat{l}^u}}(\eta) \nu_0(R^-_{\hat{l}^u} R^-_\eta)}{r! N^{2k-1-u}} \Bigg]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, the term with $u = 2k-1$, we remark that for $r = 1$ it appears the term $\epsilon^l R_l$. Thus,

$$A_3 = \beta^2 \nu_0(\epsilon^l R^-_l) + \sum_{r \geq 2} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^{\hat{l}} R_{\hat{l}}} \\ \epsilon^{\hat{l}} \epsilon^\eta = 1}} \frac{\beta^{2r} \rho^{\epsilon^{\hat{l}} R_{\hat{l}}}(\eta)}{r!} \nu_0(R^-_{\hat{l}} R^-_\eta),$$

the last terms:

$$A_4 = -\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\sum_{p=1}^{3^r}\sum_{\eta\in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^l R_l}} \frac{\beta^{2r}\rho^{\epsilon^l R_l}(\eta)}{r!}\Bigg[\frac{1}{N^{2k}}\nu_0(\epsilon^\eta R_\eta^-) + \sum_{u=1}^{2k}\sum_{l^u\in G_l^u}\frac{1}{N^{2k-u}}\nu_0(\epsilon^{l^u}R_{l^u}^-\epsilon^\eta R_\eta^-)\Bigg].$$

In the term A_4 we removed the constraints $\epsilon^\eta = 1$ and $\epsilon^{l^u}\epsilon^\eta = 1$ as for the other η these terms vanish. The result follows if we look at the coefficient of order $\frac{1}{N^k}$ for each A_j with $j = 1, \ldots, 4$ and if we demonstrate that the other terms are of order $\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}\right)$. Indeed, each term

$$\sum_{r\geq 2k-1-u}\sum_{p=1}^{3^r}\sum_{\substack{\eta\in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^{\hat{l}^u}R_{\hat{l}_u}}\\ \epsilon^{\hat{l}^u}\epsilon^\eta=1}}\frac{\beta^{2r}\rho^{\epsilon^{\hat{l}^u}R_{\hat{l}^u}}(\eta)\nu_0(R^-_{\hat{l}^u}R^-_\eta)}{r!N^{2k-1-u}} = \frac{1}{N^{2k-1-u}}\nu_t^{(2k-1-u)}(\epsilon^{\hat{l}^u}R_{\hat{l}^u}),$$

that appears in A_2, satisfies for a positive real number t in the interval $[0,1]$ and we could remove the condition $\epsilon^{\hat{l}^u}\epsilon^\eta = 1$ because for other values of η it vanishes and we could replace $\eta \in \epsilon^{\hat{l}^u}R_{\hat{l}^u}$ by $\eta \in \epsilon^{\hat{l}^u}R^-_{\hat{l}^u}$ because these functions depends on the same configurations and we have used the fact that it could be seen as a error term in the expansion of $\nu(\epsilon^{\hat{l}^u}R^-_{\hat{l}^u})$ of order $2k-2-u$. As $u \leq 2k-2$ and using Propostion 3.4 item (c) each one of these terms are $\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}\right)$. By the same thought for a real number t_1 in the interval $[0,1]$, we can show that, the terms which appear in A_3,

$$\sum_{r\geq 2}\sum_{p=1}^{3^r}\sum_{\substack{\eta\in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^{\hat{l}}R_{\hat{l}}}\\ \epsilon^{\hat{l}}\epsilon^\eta=1}}\frac{\beta^{2r}\rho^{\epsilon^{\hat{l}}R_{\hat{l}}}(\eta)}{r!}\nu_0(R^-_{\hat{l}}R^-_\eta) = \nu_{t_1}^{(2)}(\epsilon^{\hat{l}}R^-_{\hat{l}}) = \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}\right). \quad (12)$$

To finish, we look at the terms in A_4 and we use the relation (4) then

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\sum_{p=1}^{3^r}\sum_{\eta\in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^l R_l}}\frac{\beta^{2r}\rho^{\epsilon^l R_l}(\eta)}{r!}\left[\frac{1}{N^{2k}}\nu_0(\epsilon^\eta R_\eta^-)+\sum_{u=1}^{2k}\sum_{l^u\in G_l^u}\frac{1}{N^{2k-u}}\times\right.$$
$$\left.\times\,\nu_0(\epsilon^{l^u}R_{l^u}^-\epsilon^\eta R_\eta^-)\right]=\sum_{r\geq 1}\sum_{p=1}^{3^r}\sum_{\eta\in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^l R_l}}\frac{\beta^{2r}\rho^{\epsilon^l R_l}(\eta)}{r!}\nu_0(\epsilon^l R_l\epsilon^\eta R_\eta^-)$$
$$=\nu_{t_2}^{(1)}(\epsilon^l R_l)=\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}\right)\tag{13}$$

where we have used the fact that the last summation can be seen as a error term in the Taylor's expansion of order zero for the function $\nu(\epsilon^l R_l)$. Afterwards, we applied again the Proposition 3.4 item (c) which proves (b).

(Step 2:) the case $k\geq 2$ with $\ell<2k$

Now, we go on with the induction and we suppose the assumptions of item (c). Then, we apply Proposition 3.3 for a sequence l such that $2\leq\ell=\hat{k}\leq 2k$ which produces

$$\nu_0(\epsilon^l R_l^-)=B_1+B_2+B_3+B_4\tag{14}$$

where B_1 is associated to the two first summations in (5) and we have applied the hypothesis of induction claimed in the item (b):

$$B_1=\sum_{u=1}^{\hat{k}-1}\sum_{l^u\in G_l^u}\sum_{v=1}^{u}\sum_{l^{u,v}\in G_{l^u}^v}\frac{(-1)^{\hat{k}-u}}{N^{\hat{k}-v}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\frac{\lambda_j^{l^{u,v}}}{N^j}+\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}\right)\right)+$$
$$+\frac{1}{N^{\hat{k}-1}}+\sum_{u=1}^{\hat{k}-2}\sum_{\hat{l}^u\in G_{\hat{l}}^u}\frac{1}{N^{\hat{k}-1-u}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\frac{\lambda_j^{\hat{l}^u}}{N^j}+\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}\right)\right).$$

B_2 has $u\leq\hat{k}-2$ in the third summation at (5) and we apply again the hypothesis of item (b):

$$B_2=\sum_{u=1}^{\hat{k}-2}\sum_{\hat{l}^u\in G_{\hat{l}}^u}\left[\sum_{r=1}^{2k-2-u}\sum_{p=1}^{3^r}\sum_{\substack{\eta\in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^{\hat{l}}R_{\hat{l}}}\\ \epsilon^{\hat{l}^u}\epsilon^\eta=1}}\frac{\beta^{2r}\rho^{\epsilon^{\hat{l}}R_{\hat{l}}}(\eta)}{r!N^{\hat{k}-1-u}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\frac{\lambda_j^{(\hat{l}^u,\eta)}}{N^j}+\right.\right.$$
$$\left.\left.+\,\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}\right)\right)+\sum_{r\geq 2k-1-u}\sum_{p=1}^{3^r}\sum_{\substack{\eta\in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^{\hat{l}^u}R_{\hat{l}^u}}\\ \epsilon^{\hat{l}^u}\epsilon^\eta=1}}\frac{\beta^{2r}\rho(\eta)}{N^{\hat{k}-1-u}r!}\nu_0(\epsilon^{\hat{l}^u}R_{\hat{l}^u}^-\epsilon^\eta R_\eta^-)\right].$$

B_3 is associated to the third summation when $u = \hat{k} - 1$. Now, we also apply the hypothesis claimed in the item (c):

$$B_3 = \beta^2 \nu_0(\epsilon^l R_l^-) + \Bigg[\sum_{r=2}^{2k-\hat{k}+1} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r}$$

$$\sum_{\substack{\eta \in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^{\hat{l}} R_{\hat{l}}} \\ \epsilon^{\hat{l}} \epsilon^{\eta} = 1}} \frac{\beta^{2r} \rho^{\epsilon^{\hat{l}} R_{\hat{l}}}(\eta)}{r!} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{\lambda_j^{(\hat{l},\eta)}}{N^j} + \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{k+\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{r \geq 2k-\hat{k}+2} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^{\hat{l}} R_{\hat{l}}} \\ \epsilon^{\hat{l}} \epsilon^{\eta} = 1}} \frac{\beta^{2r} \rho^{\epsilon^{\hat{l}} R_{\hat{l}}}(\eta)}{r!} \nu_0(\epsilon^{\hat{l}} R_{\hat{l}}^- \epsilon^{\eta} R_{\eta}^-) \Bigg],$$

the last terms appear in B_4:

$$B_4 = -\sum_{r=1}^{2k-\hat{k}} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^l R_l} \\ \epsilon^{\eta} = 1}} \frac{\beta^{2r} \rho^{\epsilon^l R_l}(\eta)}{r! N^{\hat{k}}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{\lambda_j^{\eta}}{N^j} + \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{k-\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \right) -$$

$$- \sum_{r \geq 2k-\hat{k}+1} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^l R_l} \\ \epsilon^{\eta} = 1}} \frac{\beta^{2r} \rho^{\epsilon^l R_l}(\eta)}{r! N^{\hat{k}}} \nu_0(\epsilon^{\eta} R_{\eta}^-)$$

$$- \sum_{r=1}^{2k-\hat{k}} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r} \sum_{\eta \in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^l R_l}} \frac{\beta^{2r} \rho^{\epsilon^l R_l}(\eta)}{r!} \Bigg[\sum_{u=1}^{\hat{k}-1} \sum_{\substack{l^u \in G_l^u \\ \epsilon^{l^u} \epsilon^{\eta} = 1}} \frac{1}{N^{\hat{k}-u}} \Bigg(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{\lambda_j^{(l^u,\eta)}}{N^j} +$$

$$+ \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{k-\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \Bigg) + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{\lambda_j^{(l,\eta)}}{N^j} + \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{k+\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \Bigg] -$$

$$- \sum_{r \geq 2k-\hat{k}+1} \sum_{p=1}^{3^r} \sum_{\eta \in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^l R_l}} \sum_{u=1}^{\hat{k}} \sum_{\substack{l^u \in G_l^u \\ \epsilon^{l^u} \epsilon^{\eta} = 1}} \frac{\beta^{2r} \rho^{\epsilon^l R_l}(\eta)}{r! N^{\hat{k}-u}} \nu_0(\epsilon^{l^u} R_{l^u}^- \epsilon^{\eta} R_{\eta}^-).$$

Eventually, we obtain the result by observing the coefficient of the term $\frac{1}{N^k}$ in the expressions B_1, B_2, B_3 and B_4 and by the fact that the other terms are $\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{k+\frac{1}{2}}} \right)$.

For a fixed sequence $\hat{l}^u$ each term, that appears in B_2,

$$\sum_{r\geq 2k-1-u}\sum_{p=1}^{3^r}\sum_{\substack{\eta\in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^{\hat{l}^u}R_{\hat{l}^u}}\\ \epsilon^{\hat{l}^u}\epsilon^\eta=1}}\frac{\beta^{2r}\rho^{\epsilon^{\hat{l}^u}R_{\hat{l}^u}}(\eta)}{N^{\hat{k}-1-u}r!}\nu_0(\epsilon^{\hat{l}^u}R^-_{\hat{l}^u}\epsilon^\eta R^-_\eta)=$$

$$\frac{1}{N^{\hat{k}-1-u}}\nu_{t_1}^{(2k-1-u)}(\epsilon^{\hat{l}^u}R^-_{\epsilon^{\hat{l}^u}})=\frac{1}{N^{\hat{k}-1-u}}\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}\right)=\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \tag{15}$$

where we have just used the fact that this term can be seen as a error term in the Taylor expansion of order $2k-u$ of the function $\nu(\epsilon^{\hat{l}^u}R_{\hat{l}^u})$ and afterwards the Proposition 3.4 item (c) and the fact that $u\leq\hat{k}-2$. By similar arguments the term, that appears in B_3,

$$\sum_{r\geq 2k-\hat{k}+1}\sum_{p=1}^{3^r}\sum_{\substack{\eta\in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^{\hat{l}}R_{\hat{l}}}\\ \epsilon^{\hat{l}}\epsilon^\eta=1}}\frac{\beta^{2r}\rho^{\epsilon^{\hat{l}}R_{\hat{l}}}(\eta)}{r!}\nu_0(\epsilon^{\hat{l}}R^-_{\hat{l}}\epsilon^\eta R^-_\eta)$$

$$=\nu_{t_2}^{(2k-\hat{k}+2)}(\epsilon^{\hat{l}}R^-_{\hat{l}})=\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}\right).$$

To finish, we look at the terms that appear in B_4 which we can remove the constraints $\epsilon^\eta=1$ and $\epsilon^{l^u}\epsilon^\eta=1$ because the other vanish and usign relation (4) we have that

$$\sum_{r\geq 2k-\hat{k}+1}\sum_{p=1}^{3^r}\sum_{\eta\in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^l R_l}}\frac{\beta^{2r}\rho^{\epsilon^l R_l}(\eta)}{r!}\left[\nu_0(\epsilon^\eta R^-_\eta)+\sum_{u=1}^{\hat{k}}\sum_{l^u\in G_l^u}\frac{\nu_0(\epsilon^{l^u}R^-_{l^u}\epsilon^\eta R^-_\eta)}{N^{\hat{k}-u}}\right]=$$

$$=\sum_{r\geq 2k-\hat{k}+1}\sum_{p=1}^{3^r}\sum_{\eta\in C_{r,p}^{\epsilon^l R_l}}\frac{\beta^{2r}\rho^{\epsilon^l R_l}(\eta)}{r!}\nu_0(\epsilon^l R^-_l\epsilon^\eta R^-_\eta)=$$

$$=\nu_{t_3}^{(2k-\hat{k}+1)}(\epsilon^l R^-_l)=\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}\right). \tag{16}$$

where we have just used that $\epsilon^l R^-_l$ and $\epsilon^l R_l$ depends on the same configurations. We can see the last summation as the error term of the Taylor's expansion of order $2k-\hat{k}$ for $\nu(\epsilon^l R^-_l)$ and we can make use of the Proposition 3.4 item (c) for concluding the proof ▌

5 The proof of Theorem 1.6

Proof Using the property of symmetry of the sites, we obtain that

$$\nu(R^2_{1,2})=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{\nu(\sigma_i^1\sigma_i^2R_{1,2})}{N}=\nu(\epsilon_1\epsilon_2R_{1,2})=\frac{1}{N}+\nu(\epsilon_1\epsilon_2R^-_{1,2})$$

where we have just used the relation $R_{1,2} = \frac{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}{N} + R^-_{1,2}$. Applying the Equation (3), we have

$$\nu(R^2_{1,2}) = \frac{1}{N} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{3^\ell} \sum_{l \in C_{\ell,m}^{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 R^-_{1,2}}} \frac{\beta^{2\ell} \rho^{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 R^-_{1,2}}(l)}{\ell!} \nu_0(\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 R^-_{1,2} \epsilon^l R^-_l) \tag{17}$$

As the term

$$\sum_{\ell \geq 2k_0} \sum_{m=1}^{3^\ell} \sum_{l \in C_{\ell,m}^{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 R^-_{1,2}}} \frac{\beta^{2\ell} \rho^{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 R^-_{1,2}}(\eta)}{\ell!} \nu_0(\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 R^-_{1,2} \epsilon^l R^-_l) =$$

$$= \nu_{t_1}^{(2k_0)}(\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 R^-_{1,2}) = \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{k_0+\frac{1}{2}}}\right)$$

because it can be seen as the error term of order $2k_0$ of the function $\nu(\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 R^-_{1,2})$ and we have used the Proposition 3.4 item (c). Now, we put the constraint $\epsilon_1\epsilon_2\epsilon^l = 1$ because the other terms vanish by Proposition 2.1 and we apply the Lemma 1.5. Thus,

$$\nu(R^2_{1,2}) = \frac{1}{N} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{2k_0-1} \sum_{m=1}^{3^\ell} \sum_{\substack{l \in C_{\ell,m}^{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 R^-_{1,2}} \\ \epsilon_1\epsilon_2\epsilon^l = 1}} \frac{\beta^{2\ell} \rho^{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 R^-_{1,2}}(l)}{\ell!} \sum_{j=1}^{k_0} \lambda_j^{(1,2,l)} + $$

$$+ \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{k_0+\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \tag{18}$$

which concludes the proof $\blacksquare$

References

[1] Talagrand M., *Spin Glasses a challenge for mathematicians*, vol. 1, Springer-Verlag,2003

[2] Bardina X.,Marquez-Carreras D., Rovira C. and Tindel S., “Higher order expansions for the overlap of the SK model,“ *Prog.Probab.*, vol. 58, pp. 21–43, 2004.